#### **EXHIBIT 8**

# MAO DECLARATION OPPOSITION TO GOOGLE'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE LASINSKI

## DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 361-51 Filed 01/25/24 Page 2 of 12 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    ** CONFIDENTIAL **
2
    ** ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY **
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3
    NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4
    SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
5
    Case No. 3:20-CV-04688-RS
6
    ----×
7
    ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, et al. individually
    and on behalf of all others similarly
8
    situated,
9
             Plaintiff,
10
11
         - against -
12
13
    GOOGLE LLC,
14
             Defendant.
15
           - - - - - - - - - - - - x
                 June 26, 2023
16
                 10:05 a.m.
17
18
         Videotaped Deposition of JONATHAN
    HOCHMAN, taken by Defendant, pursuant to
19
    Notice, held at the offices of Willkie Farr
20
    & Gallagher LLP, 787 Seventh Avenue, New
21
2.2
    York, New York, before Todd DeSimone, a
23
    Registered Professional Reporter and Notary
    Public of the State of New York.
24
25
                                          Page 1
```

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 361-51 Filed 01/25/24 Page 3 of 12 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
APPEARANCES:
1
    BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
2
    725 South Figueroa Street
3
    31st Floor
    Los Angeles, California 90017
           Attorneys for Plaintiff
4
           HSIAO (MARK) C. MAO, ESQ.
    BY:
5
            mmao@bsfllp.com
6
7
    MORGAN & MORGAN LLP
    201 North Franklin Street
    7th Floor
8
    Tampa, Florida 33602
9
          Attorneys for Plaintiff
    BY:
          JOHN A. YANCHUNIS, ESQ. (Via Zoom)
           jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
10
          RYAN JOSEPH McGEE, ESQ. (Via Zoom)
           rmcgee@forthepeople.com
11
12
    WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP
13
    One Front Street
14
    34th Floor
    San Francisco, California 94111
15
           Attorneys for Defendant
           EDUARDO SANTACANA, ESQ.
    BY:
            esantacana@willkie.com
16
           NOORJAHAN RAHMAN, ESQ. (Via Zoom)
17
            nrahman@willkie.com
18
    ALSO PRESENT:
19
      CARA HUNT, Summer Associate, Willkie (Via
      Zoom)
20
      JOHN BLACK (Via Zoom)
21
      PAUL BAKER, Videographer
22
23
24
25
                                           Page 2
```

```
1
    into being contextual, but maybe there is
    something else that we haven't thought of.
2
                 Okay. But as far as you know,
3
         Q.
    you're familiar that Google uses the term
4
5
    "contextual targeting" in their
6
    developer-facing pages?
7
                 Yeah, I'm aware of contextual
         Α.
    targeting from the ad platform side and
8
9
    often that was referring to like based on
10
    what words are in a content page on the
11
    display network and what words the user --
12
    the advertiser has specified in an ad
13
    campaign, and they might say oh, okay, you
    want to target people searching for white
14
15
    sneakers and here is an article about white
16
    sneakers, even though it is not a search,
1 7
    it is just a context page, maybe we will
    show your ad here because we think it is
18
    especially relevant in this context.
19
20
         Ο.
                 Right.
21
                 So that is kind of maybe a
22
    little bit more specific than showing an ad
23
    based on someone's language or their
    general course location.
24
25
                 Right. And from an internet
         Q .
                                          Page 204
```

#### Case 3:20-cv-04688-RS Document 361-51 Filed 01/25/24 Page 5 of 12 CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

```
1
    marketing standpoint, in order to
    effectuate contextual targeting in a
 2
 3
    responsible way, Google has to say
    something about its serving of ads, some
 4
 5
    type of information?
 6
         A .
                I would even go further than
7
    that. I would say that in order to serve
8
    ads, Google needs to retain some data in
9
    order to comply with industry standards. I
    think Google is a member of the Media
10
11
    Rating Council and they are certified for
12
    generalized and valid traffic detection and
13
    sophisticated and valid traffic detection,
    and that's ad fraud detection.
14
15
         0.
                Okay.
16
         A .
                So when you want to charge
17
    someone for ads, you actually have to
18
    retain some data in order to prove good
    delivery of the ads. You can't just say
19
20
    hey, I have shown your ad a million times,
21
    give me the money. You actually have to
22
    have some proof from a vendor who is MRC --
23
    ideally MRC certified who can provide some
    reliable statistics.
24
25
                This MRC was set up in the
                                         Page 205
```

```
1
    1960s by the Congress because there at the
2
    time had been a lot of lying about
3
    advertising on like television, radio,
    newspapers, like lying about the reach of
4
5
    the advertising. So Congress sort of
    decided to put an end to that and said
6
7
    let's certify the distribution of these
8
    ads. So that has moved into the online
9
    era, and Google and Google Analytics are a
    couple of the certified vendors.
10
11
         Q.
                Okay.
12
                That is more than what you
         A .
13
    wanted to know about that, but it might be
    helpful.
14
15
                In the context of this case,
         0.
16
    when we are talking about -- what's the
    term you would use, bookkeeping,
17
18
    recordkeeping, Ratings Council compliance?
19
                I would just say you want to
         A .
    retain evidence of good delivery. Because
20
21
    one of the things as an advertiser that I
22
    like Google for is that they try to
23
    maintain a clean network. They try to
    protect me as an advertiser from ad fraud.
24
25
    They tell us that they conduct proactive
                                         Page 206
```

1 investigations. They have automatic systems that detect fraudulent activity and 2 3 try to protect the advertiser from that. Isn't one of the ways they 4 Q. 5 effectuate that by analyzing device ID and IP address? 6 7 Α. Look, I can help you a little bit there. I've done a bunch of ad fraud 8 9 cases, and one of the things to do is to look at the device ID and some of this 10 11 collected data. So it is a known method 12 for detecting ad fraud. 13 Would Google have to drop that Q. detection method for sWAA-off users to 14 resolve the problems you've identified in 15 16 your report? 1 7 Α. I don't know. Would there be some way to work it out that these things 18 19 could coexist? I'm not sure. Again, I 2 0 would point back to my original 21 observation, which is Google has put itself 22 into a very difficult position by promising 23 the user, hey, we are going to be your advocate for privacy and the vendors they 24 25 work with. They've got some overlapping Page 207

2 0

and conflicting obligations, and that creates a problem for them and maybe they ought to focus on one business or the other in order to do the best possible job for each constituency.

Q. Okay. Well, but for now let's assume that they are not going to drop users or drop advertisers in the immediate future. The fraud detection mechanism that you were just talking about it sounds like relies on certain persistent or non-temporary, non-transient identifiers, right?

rabbit hole that we are going into here about like how would Google do this. I mean, maybe there is some way that they can work it out. You know, maybe they can talk to the advertisers and, you know, talk to the industry standards and say hey, you know, in order to respect privacy, we can't collect this data for certain segment of people who turn this off, but we know these are real people because they've got Google accounts. You know, you have to have a

Page 208

```
1
    that you were unable to answer that you
    would now be able to answer given your
2
    access to these Excel files other than the
    two questions you already listed for me?
4
5
                 Nothing immediately comes to
    mind.
6
                 Okay. So we will get to H2 in
         Q.
    a minute. You had a colloquy with your
8
9
    attorney about reidentification as
    distinguished from joinability.
10
11
         Α.
                 Yes.
12
         0.
                 I understand the difference, I
    think, of what you defined to be
13
    reidentification is what you defined to be
14
    joinability.
15
16
                 My question is, that definition
17
    of reidentification doesn't appear, I don't
    think, in your report, or really any
18
    mention of it in your own words, though you
19
2 0
    do quote one witness as using the word.
                                               So
21
    I'm trying to just process like in your
    mind what relevance does that distinction
22
23
    have to your expert opinions in the case.
24
                 Well, throughout the day today
         Α.
25
    I haven't been using that word because it
                                          Page 363
```

```
1
    is a little bit jarqony.
                Well, and because you didn't
 2
         Q.
3
    use it in your report.
                Well, but I talked about -- I
4
         A .
    talked about it. I talked about the idea
5
    that the data that includes identifiers
6
7
    someone can extract an identifier from a
8
    phone and they can now match it to the
9
    data. So joinability is like taking two
    pieces of data and putting them together,
10
11
    whereas reidentification is you have some
12
    external source of knowledge and you
13
    combine it with a piece of data and now you
    have reidentified the data.
14
15
                Got it. Are you opining in the
         0.
16
    case that Google has engaged in
    reidentification of sWAA data?
17
18
                No, I'm not saying that Google
         A .
19
    is doing this. I'm assuming that Google
20
    has the best intentions here, but that the
21
    problem is from threat actors or external
22
    pressure applied to Google. Some foreign
23
    government goes and puts pressure on Google
    to release some data or something else
24
25
    happens that causes that data to go out of
                                         Page 364
```

```
1
    Google's control and somebody else is
2
    exploiting it, and of course, I mean, there
    is always insider risk, and maybe Google
3
    changed its policy, maybe Google is nice
4
5
    today but they become evil in the future.
                 Okay. So on that subject, you
6
7
    said something about Google having
    separated them, "them" being I quess GAIA
8
9
    logs and non-GAIA logs, they can put them
    back together. Do you recall that?
10
11
         Α.
                 Yes.
12
                 And I think you said, for
         Q.
    example, under some type of legal pressure.
13
    Do you recall that?
14
15
                 That's a possibility, sort of a
16
    risk as a security technologist that one
17
    would analyze and recognize.
                 Just to be clear, you do not in
18
         Q.
    this case render the opinion that Google
19
2 0
    has for any particular member of the class
    or named plaintiff put them back together
21
22
    under legal pressure or for any other
23
    reason?
24
         Α.
                 No, I haven't given that
25
    opinion.
                                          Page 365
```

```
1
         Q.
                 Okay.
                        Then you guys talked
    about mobile app ads and display ads. I
2
    just want to clarify something. So mobile
3
    app ads is a buy-side concept, right, it is
4
5
    a type of ad campaign that an ad buyer
    would run?
6
7
         Α.
                 Yes.
         Ο.
                 And then when you were talking
8
9
    about display ads, was that whole back and
10
    forth about from the sell side perspective,
11
    if you are selling space in your app?
12
                 MR. MAO: Objection to the form
13
         of the question. Go ahead.
         Α.
                 Yeah. So I think that's a very
14
15
    nice way of putting it, that as an app
16
    publisher, you could be a buyer of
17
    advertising and want to track conversions
    for your campaigns to drive people into
18
    your app and use it, but you also could be
19
2 0
    hosting ads within your app and that
21
    conversion needs to be tracked for those
22
    ads so that the advertiser is willing to
23
    continue paying for that space.
24
         Q.
                 Right.
                         And I think if you
25
    could look at paragraph 281 of your main
                                          Page 366
```